



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/408,420	09/29/1999	SUNIL K. SRIVASTAVA	50325-076	4033

29989 7590 05/10/2005

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

ZIA, SYED

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2131

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/408,420	Applicant(s) SRIVASTAVA, SUNIL K.	
	Examiner Syed Zia	Art Unit 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Syed Zia. (3) ____.
- (2) Craig Holmes. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04/21/05.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,11.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant described the invention and argued that reference only teaches single group controller while applicant claimed a plurality of group controllers. Applicant also argued that in reference members are consist of group controller as well as under & becomes.

Examiner described his basis of rejection and ~~provided~~ mentioned how the structure and architecture of group controller performing security instead of general group controller. And also described scenarios when member is only group controller.

Attorney may amend the claim after getting approval from inventor

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

NO agreement was reached

Syed Zia